For the Northern District of California

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	9	
10	0 HAROLD P. MCDANIEL,	No. C 11-0555 WHA (PR)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
12	2 vs.	RECONSIDERATION
13		
14	CARNAZZO; P. MULLEN; D.	
15	FOSTON; R. WHITE; C. ADAMS; C.	
	P.G. DENNIS; A. GOMEZ; McCALL;	(Docket No. 13)
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursua	

t to 42 U.S.C. 1983. The complaint was dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. Plaintiff has filed a "request" for reconsideration of the dismissal order. The complaint was dismissed because neither his placement in administrative segregation nor his transfer to another prison states a cognizable claim for the violation of his constitutional rights. Plaintiff raises no valid grounds for reconsideration under Rules 59 or 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and his arguments were already considered and rejected in the dismissal order. Consequently, plaintiff's request (docket number 13) is **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May ____4__, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\CR.11\MCDANIEL0555.REC.wpd